arXiv:1606.00099v1 [math.CV] 1 Jun 2016

ON A SUBCLASS OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

YAO LIANG CHUNG[1], SEE KEONG LEE[2], MAISARAH HAJI MOHD[3]
[1,2,3] SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA, 11800 PENANG, MALAYSIA

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a subclass of close-to-convex functions defined in the open unit disk. We obtain the inclusion relationships, coefficient estimates and Fekete-Szego inequality. The results presented here would provide extensions of those given in earlier works.

1. Introduction

We begin by introducing the important classes of functions considered in this article. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ *normalized* by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the *open* unit disk:

$$\mathcal{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

Also, let $\mathcal{S}$ be the class of functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ denote the class of analytic function p in $\mathcal{U}$

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n,$$

such that $p(0) = 1$ and $\Re\{p(z)\} > 0$. Any function in $\mathcal{P}$ is called a function with *positive real part* in $\mathcal{U}$.

A set $\mathcal{D}$ in the complex plane is said to be convex if the line segment joining any two points in $\mathcal{D}$ lies entirely in $\mathcal{D}$ and starlike if the linear segment joining $w_0 = 0$ to every other point $w \in \mathcal{D}$ lies inside $\mathcal{D}$. If a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ maps $\mathcal{U}$ onto a starlike (convex) domain, we say that f is a starlike (convex) function. The equivalent analytic conditions for starlikeness and convexity are as follows:

$$\Re\Big(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\Big) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\Big(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\Big) > 0.$$

respectively. The classes consisting of starlike and convex functions are denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. It is well known that $f \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$.

E-mail address: chungyaoliang@gmail.com[1], sklee@usm.my[2], maisarah_hjmohd@usm.my[3], .
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.
Key words and phrases. Close-to-convex; starlike function; subordination.

A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to be *starlike of order* α if and only if

$$\Re\Big(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\Big) > \alpha$$

for some α $(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ the class of all functions in $\mathcal{S}$ which are starlike of order α in $\mathcal{U}$. Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*.$$

It is well known that if $f \in \mathcal{C}$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$. The converse is false as shown by the function $f(z) = z - \frac{1}{3}z^2$.

In 1952, Wilfred Kaplan [7] generalized the concept of starlike function to that of a close-to-convex function. An analytic function f is said to be close-to-convex if there exists a univalent starlike function g such that for any $z \in \mathcal{U}$, the inequality

$$\Re\Big(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\Big) > 0$$

holds. We let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the set of all functions that are normalized and close-to-convex in $\mathcal{U}$. All close-to-convex functions are univalent and the coefficient a_n satisfy Bieberbach inequality $|a_n| \leq n$. Since convex and starshaped domains are close-to-convex, the inclusion relationships

$$\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}$$

holds true.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike with respect to symmetrical points in $\mathcal{U}$ if it satisfies

$$\Re\Big(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)}\Big) > 0.$$

This class denoted by $\mathcal{SSP}$ was introduced and studied by Sakaguchi in 1959 [13]. Since $\big(f(z) - f(-z)\big)/2$ is a starlike function [3] in $\mathcal{U}$, therefore Sakaguchi's class $\mathcal{SSP}$ is also belongs to $\mathcal{K}$.

Motivated by the class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points, Gao and Zhou[4] discussed a class $\mathcal{K}_s$ of close-to-convex functions.

Definition 1.1. [4] Let $f(z)$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$. We say $f \in \mathcal{K}_s$ if there exists a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$ such that

$$\Re\Big(-\frac{z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)}\Big) > 0.$$

Remark 1.1. Note that if $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$, then $(-g(z)g(-z))/z \in \mathcal{S}^*$ [3].

Here, we recall the concept of subordination between analytic functions. Given two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, which are analytic in $\mathcal{U}$. The function $f(z)$ is *subordinate* to $g(z)$, written as $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ defined in $\mathcal{U}$ with

$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)).$$

In particular, if g is univalent in $\mathcal{U}$, then we have the following equivalence

$$f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U}).$$

Using the concept of subordination, Wang et al.[15] introduced a general class $\mathcal{K}_s(\varphi)$.

Definition 1.2. [15] For a function φ with positive real part, the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\varphi)$ consists of function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$-\frac{z^2 f'(z)}{g(z)g(-z)} \prec \varphi(z)$$

for some function $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$.

Recently, Goyal and Singh[6] introduced and studied the following subclass of analytic functions:

Definition 1.3. [6] For a function φ with positive real part, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\frac{z^2 f'(z) + z^3 f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^4 f'''(z)}{-g(z)g(-z)} \prec \varphi(z)$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(1/2)$.

Motivated by aforementioned works, we now introduce the following subclass of analytic functions:

Definition 1.4. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $K_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\frac{z^k f'(z) + z^{k+1} f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^{k+2} f'''(z)}{g_k(z)} \prec \varphi(z)$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\frac{k-1}{k})$, $k \geq 1$ is a fixed positive integer and $g_k(z)$ is defined by the following equality

$$g_k(z) = \prod_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-v} g(\varepsilon^v z) \tag{1.1}$$

with $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/k}$.

For $\varphi(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$, we get the class

Definition 1.5. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $K_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, A, B)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\frac{z^k f'(z) + z^{k+1} f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^{k+2} f'''(z)}{g_k(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\frac{k-1}{k})$, $k \geq 1$ is a fixed positive integer and $g_k(z)$ is defined by the following equality

$$g_k(z) = \prod_{v=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-v} g(\varepsilon^v z)$$

with $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/k}$.

The condition in (1.2) is equivalent to

$$\Big|\frac{z^k f'(z) + z^{k+1} f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^{k+2} f'''(z)}{g_k(z)} - 1\Big| < \Big|A + \frac{B(z^k f'(z) + z^{k+1} f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^{k+2} f'''(z))}{g_k(z)}\Big|.$$

Remark 1.2. (a) For $\mu = 0$, and $k = 2$, we have the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\lambda, A, B)$[17].
(b) When $A = 1 - 2\gamma, B = -1$ and $\lambda = \mu = 0$, we obtain the class $\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\gamma)$ [15]. In addition, if $k = 2$, then we obtain the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\gamma)$[11].
(c) When $A = \beta, B = -\alpha\beta$ and $\lambda = \mu = 0$, then we obtain the class $\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\alpha, \beta)$ in [18]. In addition, if $k = 2$, then we obtain the class $\mathcal{K}_s(\alpha, \beta)$[16].

The following lemmas are needed in order to prove our main results:

Lemma 1.1. [16] If $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^*(\frac{k-1}{k})$, then

$$G_k(z) = \frac{g_k(z)}{z^{k-1}} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{S}. \tag{1.3}$$

Lemma 1.2. [12] Let $f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and $g(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ be analytic and convex in $\mathcal{U}$. If $f \prec g$, then

$$|c_k| \leq |d_1| \quad \text{where} \quad k \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}.$$

Lemma 1.3. [17] Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{K}$. *Then*

$$F(z) = \frac{1+\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt \in \mathcal{K}.$$

2. Main Results

We first prove the inclusion relationship for the class $\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi) \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

Proof. Consider $f \in K_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$. By Definition 1.4, we have

$$\frac{z^k f'(z) + z^{k+1} f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^{k+2} f'''(z)}{g_k(z)} \prec \varphi(z),$$

which can be written as

$$\frac{zF'(z)}{G_k(z)} \prec \varphi(z)$$

where

$$F'(z) = f'(z) + zf''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^2 f'''(z) \tag{2.1}$$

and $G_k(z)$ is defined in (1.2). A simple computation on (2.1) gives

$$F(z) = (1 - \lambda + \mu)f(z) + (\lambda - \mu)zf'(z) + \lambda\mu z^2 f''(z).$$

Since $\Re\varphi(z) > 0$, we have

$$\Re\frac{zF'(z)}{G_k(z)} > 0.$$

Also, since $G_k(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$(by Lemma 1.1), by definition of close-to-convex function, we deduce that

$$F(z) = (1 - \lambda + \mu)f(z) + (\lambda - \mu)zf'(z) + \lambda\mu z^2 f''(z) \in \mathcal{K}.$$

In order to show $f \in \mathcal{K}$, we consider three cases:
Case 1: $\mu = \lambda = 0$. It is then obvious that $f = F \in \mathcal{K}$.
Case 2: $\mu = 0, \lambda \neq 0$. Then we obtain

$$F(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z).$$

By using the integrating factor $z^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}$, we get

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda}z^{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-2}F(t)dt.$$

Taking $\gamma = (1/\lambda) - 1$ in Lemma 1.3, we conclude that $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$.
Case 3: $\mu \neq 0, \lambda \neq 0$. Then we have

$$F(z) = (1 - \lambda + \mu)f(z) + (\lambda - \mu)zf'(z) + \lambda\mu z^2 f''(z).$$

Let $G(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda+\mu)}F(z)$, so $G(z) \in \mathcal{K}$. Then

$$G(z) = f(z) + \alpha zf'(z) + \beta z^2 f''(z) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\lambda-\mu}{1-\lambda+\mu}$ and $\beta = \frac{\lambda\mu}{1-\lambda+\mu}$. Consider δ and ν satisfies

$$\delta + \nu = \alpha - \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\nu = \beta.$$

Then, (2.2) can be written as

$$G(z) = f(z) + (\delta + \nu + \delta\nu)zf'(z) + \delta\nu z^2 f''(z).$$

Let $p(z) = f(z) + \delta zf'(z)$, then

$$p(z) + \nu zp'(z) = f(z) + (\delta + \nu + \delta\nu)zf'(z) + \delta\nu z^2 f''(z) = G(z).$$

On the other hand, $p(z) + \nu zp'(z) = \nu z^{1-1/\nu}\Big(z^{1/\nu}p(z)\Big)'$. So,

$$G(z) = \nu z^{1-1/\nu}\Big[\delta z^{1+1/\nu-1/\delta}\Big(z^{1/\delta}f(z)\Big)'\Big]'.$$

Hence

$$\delta z^{1+1/\nu-1/\delta}\Big(z^{1/\delta}f(z)\Big)' = \frac{1}{\nu}\int_0^z w^{1/\nu-1}G(w)dw.$$

Multiply by $(1+\nu)$ at both sides and divided by $z^{1/\nu}$, we get

$$(1+\nu)\delta z^{1-1/\delta}\Big(z^{1/\delta}f(z)\Big)' = \frac{1+1/\nu}{z^{1/\nu}}\int_0^z w^{1/\nu-1}G(w)dw.$$

Since $\gamma = 1/\nu \geq 0$, therefore by Lemma 1.3, we have

$$H(z) = \frac{1+1/\nu}{z^{1/\nu}}\int_0^z w^{1/\nu-1}G(w)dw \in K.$$

Further,

$$(1+\nu)z^{1/\delta}f(z) = \frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^z t^{1/\delta-1}H(t)dt.$$

Multiply by $(1+\delta)$ at both sides and divided by $z^{1/\delta}$, we get

$$(1+\delta)(1+\nu)f(z) = \frac{1+1/\delta}{z^1/\delta}\int_0^z t^{1/\delta-1}H(t)dt.$$

Since $\gamma = 1/\delta \geq 0$, therefore by Lemma 1.3, we have $f \in \mathcal{K}$. This complete the proof of the theorem. □

Next, we give the coefficient estimates of functions belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$. If $f \in K_s^{(k)}(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)}\Big(1+\frac{|\varphi'(0)|(n-1)}{2}\Big) \quad (n\in\mathbb{N}).$$

Proof. From the definition of $\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)$, we know that there exists a function with positive real part

$$p(z) = 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}p_n z^n$$

such that

$$p(z) = \frac{z^k f'(z)+z^k+1f''(z)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)+\lambda\mu z^k+2f'''(z)}{g_k(z)} = \frac{zF'(z)}{G_k(z)}$$

or

$$zf'(z)+z^2f''(z)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)+\lambda\mu z^3f'''(z) = p(z)G_k(z). \tag{2.3}$$

By expanding both sides and equating the coefficients in (2.3), we get

$$n|a_n|\big[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)\big] = B_n+p_{n-1}+p_1B_{n-1}+\cdots+p_{n-2}B_2. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $G_k(z)$ is starlike, we have

$$|B_n| \leq n. \tag{2.5}$$

Also,by Lemma 1.2, we know that

$$|p_n| = \Big|\frac{p^{(n)}(0)}{n!}\Big| \leq |\varphi'(0)| \quad (n\in\mathbb{N}). \tag{2.6}$$

Combining (2.5),(2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$n|a_n|\big[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)\big] \leq n+|\varphi'(0)|+|\varphi'(0)|\sum_{n=2}^{n-1} n.$$

$$n|a_n|\big[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)\big] \leq n\bigg(1+\frac{|\varphi'(0)|(n-1)}{2}\bigg).$$

This completes the proof. □

Setting $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 2.2,

Corollary 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \varphi)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{1+\lambda(n-1)}\Big(1+\frac{|\varphi'(0)|(n-1)}{2}\Big) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Furthermore, let $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.2. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\varphi)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \Big(1+\frac{|\varphi'(0)|(n-1)}{2}\Big) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In this section, we obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequality. To prove our result, we need the following lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. [8] If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + ...$ is a function with positive real part, then for any complex number μ

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2\max\{1, |2\mu - 1|\}$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by $p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ and $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$.

Lemma 2.2. [8] Let $G(z) = z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots$ is in $\mathcal{S}^*$. Then,

$$|b_3 - \lambda b_2^2| \leq \max\{1 - |3 - 4\lambda|\}$$

which is sharp for the Koebe function, k if $|\lambda - \frac{3}{4}| \geq \frac{1}{4}$ and for $(k(z^2))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$ if $|\lambda - \frac{3}{4}| \leq \frac{1}{4}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + Q_1 z + Q_2 z^2 + Q_3 z^3 + ...$ where $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$. For a function $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + ...$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, \varphi)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, the following sharp estimate holds

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}\max\{1, |3-4\alpha|\} + \frac{Q_1}{3(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}\max\{1, |2\beta-1|\} + 2Q_1\bigg(\frac{1}{3(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)} - \frac{\mu}{2(1+\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)^2}\bigg). \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{3\delta(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}{4(1+\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)}$$

and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} - \frac{3\delta Q_2^2 d_1^2(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}{4(1+\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)^2)}\right)$$

Proof. If $f \in K_s^{(k)}(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)$,then there exists an analytic function w analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that

$$\frac{z^k f'(z) + z^{k+1} f''(z)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^{k+2} f'''(z)}{g_k(z)} = \varphi(w(z)). \tag{2.8}$$

The series expansion of

$$\frac{z^k f'(z) + z^{k+1} f''(z)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^{k+2} f'''(z)}{g_k(z)}$$

is given by

$$1+(2a_2(1+\lambda+2\lambda\mu-\mu)-B_2)z+(3a_3(1+2\lambda+6\lambda\mu-2\mu)-2a_2(1+\lambda+2\lambda\mu-\mu)B_2+B_2^2-B_3)z^2+\cdots.$$

Define the function h by

$$h(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \cdots, \tag{2.9}$$

then $Reh(z) > 0$ and $h(0) = 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(w(z)) &= \varphi\left(\frac{h(z)-1}{h(z)+1}\right)\\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}Q_1 d_1 z + \frac{1}{2}Q_1\Big(d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2}\Big)z^2 + \frac{1}{4}Q_2 d_1^2 z^2 + \cdots,\end{aligned}$$

then it follows from (2.8) that

$$a_2 = \frac{2B_2 + Q_1 d_1}{4(1+\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)}; a_3 = \frac{2B_2 Q_1 d_1 + 2q_1\Big(d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2}\Big) + Q_2 d_1^2 + 4B_3}{12(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}a_3 - \delta a_2^2 = \frac{1}{3(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}(B_3 - \alpha B_2^2) + \frac{Q_1}{6(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}(d_2 - \beta d_1^2)\\ + \frac{B_2 Q_1 d_1}{^2}(\frac{1}{3(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)} - \frac{\delta}{2(1+\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)})\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{3\delta(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}{4(1+\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)}$$

and

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} - \frac{3\delta Q_2^2 d_1^2(1+2\lambda-2\mu+6\lambda\mu)}{4(1+\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)^2)}\right)$$

Our result is now followed by an application of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. □

Lastly, we prove sufficient condition for functions to belong to the class $\mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda,\mu,A,B)$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If $f(z) \in A$ defined by (1.1) *satisfies the inequality*

$$(1+|B|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)]|a_n| + (1+|A|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|B_n| \leq A-B \quad (2.11)$$

and for $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ *the coefficients of* B_n *given by* (1.4), *then* $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, A, B)$.

Proof. We set for F' and G_k given by (2.1) and (1.3) respectively. Now, let M denoted by

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \left|zF'(z) - \frac{g_k(z)}{z^{k-1}}\right| - \left|\frac{Ag_k(z)}{z^{k-1}} - BzF'(z)\right| \\
&= \left|zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^3 f'''(z) - \frac{g_k(z)}{z^{k-1}}\right| \\
&\quad - \left|A\frac{g_k(z)}{z^{k-1}} - B[zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^3 f'''(z)]\right| \\
&= \Big|z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n + (\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)a_n z^n + \lambda\mu\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2)a_n z^n - z \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n\Big| \\
&\quad - \left|Az + A\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n - B[zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^3 f'''(z)]\right| \\
&= \Big|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n [1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+2\lambda\mu)] - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n\Big| \\
&\quad - \left|(A-B)z + A\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n - B\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n [1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)] + A\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n z^n\right|
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)]|a_n||z^n| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|B_n||z|^n \\
&\quad - \left[(A-B)|z| - |A|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|B_n||z^n| - |B|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)]|a_n||z|^n\right] \\
&= (1+|B|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)]|a_n||z|^n - (A-B)|z| + (1+|A|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|B_n||z|^n \\
&< \left[-(A-B) + (1+|B|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1+(n-1)(\lambda-\mu+n\lambda\mu)]|a_n| + (1+|A|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}|B_n|\right]|z| \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From the above calculation, we obtain $M < 0$. Thus, we have

$$\left|zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^3 f'''(z) - \frac{g_k(z)}{z^{k-1}}\right| < \left|A\frac{g_k(z)}{z^{k-1}} - B[zf'(z) + z^2 f''(z)(\lambda - \mu + 2\lambda\mu) + \lambda\mu z^3 f'''(z)]\right|$$

Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda, \mu, A, B)$. □

Setting $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 2.3, we get

Corollary 2.3. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If

$$(1 + |B|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + \lambda(n-1)]|a_n| + (1 + |A|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |B_n| \leq A - B,$$

where B_n given by (1.4), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(\lambda, A, B)$.

Further setting $\lambda = 0$ in Corollary 2.3, we obtain

Corollary 2.4. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be analytic in $\mathcal{U}$ and $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$. If

$$(1 + |B|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| + (1 + |A|)\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |B_n| \leq A - B,$$

where B_n given by (1.4), then $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_s^{(k)}(A, B)$.

Remark 2.1. By taking $A = \beta, B = -\alpha\beta$ in Corollary 2.4, we get the result obtained in [15, Theorem 5]. In addition, by taking $A = 1 - 2\gamma, B = -1$, we get the result obtained in [13,Theorem 2].

References

[1] R. M. Ali et al., A third-order differential equation and starlikeness of a double integral operator, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2011**, Art. ID 901235, 10 pp.

[2] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon and V. Ravichandran, Coefficient, distortion and growth inequalities for certain close-to-convex functions, J. Inequal. Appl. **2011**, 2011:100, 7 pp.

[3] R. N. Das and P. Singh, On subclasses of schlicht mapping, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (1977), no. 8, 864–872.

[4] C. Gao and S. Zhou, On a class of analytic functions related to the starlike functions, Kyungpook Math. J. **45** (2005), no. 1, 123–130.

[5] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions. Vol. I*, Mariner, Tampa, FL, 1983.

[6] S. P. Goyal and O. Singh, Certain subclasses of close-to-convex functions, Vietnam J. Math. **42** (2014), no. 1, 53–62.

[7] W. Kaplan, Close-to-convex schlicht functions, Michigan Math. J. **1**(1952), 169–185.

[8] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 8–12.

[9] W. Koepf, On the Fekete Szego problem for close-to-convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), no. 1, 89–95.

[10] J.Kowalczyk and E.Les-Bomba, On a subclass of close-to-convex functions, Appl.Math.Lett. **23** (2010), 1147–1151.

[11] W. Rogosinski, On the coefficients of subordinate functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **48** (1943), 48–82.
[12] K. Sakaguchi, On a certain univalent mapping, J. Math. Soc. Japan **11** (1959), 72–75.
[13] B.Seker, On certain new subclass of close-to-convex functions, Appl.Math.Comput. **218** (2011), 1041–1045.
[14] Z.-G. Wang and D.-Z. Chen, On a subclass of close-to-convex functions, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **38** (2009), no. 2, 95–101.
[15] Z.-G. Wang, C.-Y. Gao and S.-M. Yuan, On certain new subclass of close-to-convex functions, Mat. Vesnik **58** (2006), no. 3-4, 119–124.
[16] Z. Wang, C. Gao and S. Yuan, On certain subclass of close-to-convex functions, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.) **22** (2006), no. 2, 171–177 (electronic).
[17] Z.R. Wu The integral operator of starlikeness and the family of Bazilevic functions, Acta Math. Sin. **27** (1984), 394–409 (in Chinese) (electronic).